Noise

In electromagnetic systems, the energy per photon = hv. In communication systems, noise can be either quantum or additive from the measurement system (receiver, etc).

The additive noise power is 4kTB,

k is the Boltzman constant

T is the absolute temperature

B is the bandwidth of the system.

When making a measurement (e.g. measuring voltage in a receiver), noise energy per unit time 1/B can be written as 4kT.

$$SNR = \frac{Nhv}{\sqrt{N}hv + AdditiveNoise}} = \frac{Nhv}{\sqrt{N}hv + 4kT}$$

 \sqrt{N} comes from the standard deviation of the number of photons per time element.

SNR in x-ray systems

 $SNR = \frac{Nhv}{\sqrt{N}hv + AdditiveNoise}} = \frac{Nhv}{\sqrt{N}hv + 4kT}$

When the frequency $v \ll GHz$, $4kT \gg hv$

In the X-ray region where frequencies are on the order of 10^{19} : hv >> 4kT

X-ray is quantum limited due to the discrete number of photons per pixel.

We need to know the mean and variance of the random process that generate x-ray photons, absorb them, and record them.

Recall: $h = 6.63 \times 10^{-34}$ Js $k = 1.38 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K

Discrete-Quantum Nature of EM radiation detection

- Detector does not continuesly absorb energy
- But, absorb energy in increments of hv
- Therefore, the output of detector cannot be smooth
- But also exhibit Fluctuations known as quantum noise, or Poisson noise (as definition of Poisson distribution, as we see later)

Noise in x-ray system

- hv is so large for x-rays due to necessity of radiation dose to patient, therefore:
 - -1) Small number of quanta is probable to be detected
 - 2) Large number of photons is required for proper density on Film
 - 10⁷ x-ray photons/cm² exposed on Screen
 - 10¹¹-10¹² optical photons/cm² exposed on Film
- Therefore, with so few number of detected quanta, the quantum noise (poisson fluctuation) is dominant in radiographic images

Assumptions

- Stationary statistics for a constant source and fixed source-detector geometry
- Ideal detector which responds to every phonon impinging on it

Motivation:



We are concern to detect some objects (here shown in blue) that has a different property, eg. "attenuation", from the background (green). To do so:

we have to be able to describe the random processes that will cause the x-ray intensity to vary across the background.

binomial distribution:

is the <u>discrete probability distribution</u> of the number of successes (eg. Photon detection) in a sequence of n <u>independent</u> experiments (# of interacting photons). Each photon detection yields success with <u>probability</u> p.

If experiment has only 2 possible outcomes for each trial (eg. Yes/No), we call it a Bernouli random variable.

Success: Probability of one is p Failure: Probability of the other is 1 - p



- The outcome of rolling the die is a random variable of discrete values.
 - Let's call this random variable X. We write then that
- the probability of X being value n (eg. 2) is $p_x(n) = 1/6$



Note: Because the probability of all events is equal, we refer to this event as having a uniform probability distribution



Ľ

0

6

6



Zeroth Order Statistics

- Not concerned with relationship between events along a random process
- Just looks at one point in time or space
- Mean of X, μ_X , or Expected Value of X, E[X]

– Measures first moment of $p_X(x)$

$$u_X = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_X(x) dx$$

• Variance of X, σ_X^2 , or E[(X- μ)²] – Measure second moment of $p_X(x)$ $\sigma_X^2 = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 p_X(x) dx$

Standard deviation

$$\sigma_X = std$$

Zeroth Order Statistics

• Recall
$$E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_X(x) dx$$

• Variance of X or $E[(X-\mu)^2]$

$$\sigma_X^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 p_X(x) dx$$

$$\sigma_X^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 p_X(x) dx - 2\mu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x p_X(x) dx + \mu^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_X(x) dx$$

$$\sigma_X^2 = E[X^2] - 2\mu E[X] + \mu^2$$

$$\sigma_X^2 = E[X^2] - E^2[X] = E[X^2] - \mu^2$$



p(j) for throwing 2 die is 1/36:

Let die 1 experiment result be x and called Random Variable X Let die 2 experiment result be y and called Random Variable Y With independence: $p_{XY}(x,y) = p_X(x) p_Y(y)$

 $E[xy] = \iint xy p_{XY}(x,y) dx dy = \int x p_X(x) dx \int y p_Y(y) dy = E[X] E[Y]$ 6/36 5/364/363/36 2/361/368 9



- E[X+Y] = E[X] + E[Y] Always
- E[aX] = aE[X] Always
- $\sigma_x^2 = E[X^2] E^2[X]$ Always
- $\sigma^2(aX) = a^2 \sigma^2_x$ Always
- E[X + c] = E[X] + c

Var(X + Y) = Var(X) + Var(Y) only if the X and Y are statistically independent. For n trials,

P[X = i] is the probability of i successes in the n trials X is said to be a binomial variable with parameters (n,p)

$$p[X = i] = \frac{n!}{(n-i)!i!} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i}$$

Roll a die 10 times (n=10). In this game, you win if you roll a 6. Anything else - you lose

What is P[X = 2], the probability you win twice (i=2)?

$$p[X=i] = \frac{n!}{(n-i)!i!} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i}$$

= (10! / 8! 2!) (1/6)2 (5/6)8

= (90 / 2) (1/36) (5/6)8 = 0.2907











Binomial PDF and normal approximation for n = 6 and p = 0.5.

Limits of binomial distributions

•As *n* approaches ∞ and *p* approaches 0, then the Binomial(*n*, *p*) distribution approaches the Poisson distribution with expected value $\lambda = np$.

•As *n* approaches ∞ while *p* remains fixed, this distribution approaches the <u>normal distribution</u> with expected value 0 and <u>variance</u> 1

•(this is just a specific case of the <u>Central Limit Theorem</u>).

Recall: If p is small and n large so that np is moderate, then an approximate (very good) probability is:

$$P[X=i] = e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{i} / i!$$
 Where $np = \lambda$
the probability exactly i events happen
Poisson Random Variable

With Poisson random variables, their mean is equal to their variance! $E[\mathbf{Y}] = -2$

$$E[X] = \sigma_x^2 = \lambda$$



Let the probability that a letter on a page is misprinted is 1/1600. Let's assume 800 characters per page. Find the probability of 1 error on the page.



Using Binomial Random Variable Calculation: i = 1, p = 1/1600 and n =800 P [X = 1] = (800! / 799!) (1/1600) (1599/1600)⁷⁹⁹

Very difficult to calculate some of the above terms. But using Poisson calculation:

P [X = i] =
$$e^{-\lambda} \lambda^i / i!$$
 Here, so $\lambda = np = \frac{1}{2}$

So $P[X=1] = 1/2 e^{-0.5} = .30$





- 1) Number of biscuits sold in a store each day
- 2) Number of x-rays discharged off an anode



To find the probability density function that describes the number of photons striking on the Detector pixel



1) Probability of X-ray emission is a Poisson process:

$$P(\frac{i_{emissions}}{unit-time}) = N_0^i \frac{e^{-N_0}}{i!}$$

 N_0 is the average number of emitted X-ray photons (i.e λ in the Poisson process).

2) Transmission -- Binomial Process

transmitted
$$p = e^{-\int u(z) dz}$$

interacting $q = 1 - p$

3) Cascade of a Poisson and Binary Process still has a Poisson Probability Density Function

- Q(i) represents transmission of Emitted photons:

$$Q(i) = (pN_0)^i \frac{e^{-pN_0}}{i!}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{With Average Transmission:} & \lambda = p N_0 \\ \mbox{Variance:} & \sigma^2 = p N_0 \end{array}$

SNR



SNR Based on the number of photons (N):

then ΔN describes the signal :

$$SNR = \frac{\Delta N}{\sigma_N} = \frac{\Delta N}{\sqrt{N}} = \frac{CN}{\sqrt{N}} = C\sqrt{N}$$
 where: $C = \frac{\Delta N}{N}$

SNR based on Detected Photons per Pixel

The average number of photons N striking a detector depends on:

1- Source Output (Exposure), Roentgen (R) (Considering Geometric efficiency $\Omega/4\pi$ (fractional solid angle subtended by the detector)

2- Photon Fluence/Roentgen

$$\frac{\Phi}{R} = 2.5 \bullet 10^{10} \frac{Photons / cm^2}{R}$$
 for moderate evergy

3- Pixel Area (cm²)

4- Transmission probability p

$$N = \Phi AR \exp[-\int \mu dz]$$

Let $t = \exp \left[-\int \mu dz\right]$ and Add a recorder with quantum efficiency η

$$SNR = C\sqrt{N} = C\sqrt{\eta}\Phi ARt$$

Example chest x-ray:

$$50 \text{ mRad}= 50 \text{ mRoentgen}$$

 $\eta = 0.25$
 $\text{Res} = 1 \text{ mm}$
 $t = 0.05$

What is the SNR as a function of C?

$$SNR = C\sqrt{N} = C\sqrt{\eta N}$$

SNR based on Light Photons per Pixel on Film Consider the detector

M \rightarrow X light photons / capture \rightarrow Y light photons

Captured Photons In Screen (Poisson)

What are the zeroth order statistics on Y?

$$Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} X_m$$

Y depends on the number of x-ray photons M that hit the screen, a Poisson process.

Every photon that hits the screen creates a random number of light photons, also a Poisson process.

What is the mean of Y? (This will give us the signal level in terms of light photons) $Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} X_{m}^{M}$

Mean

$$Y = \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m=1}}^{X} X_{m}$$
$$E[Y] = E[\sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ m=1}}^{M}]$$

Expectation of a Sum is Sum of Expectations (Always). There will be M terms in sum.

$$E[Y] = E[X_1] + E[X_2] + \dots E[X_m]$$

Each Random Variable X has same mean. There will be M terms in the sum E [Y] = E [M] E [X] Sum of random variables $E [M] = \eta N$ captured x-ray photons / element $E [X] = g_1$ mean # light photons/single x-ray capture so the mean number of light photons is $E[Y] = \eta N g_{1.}$ What is the variance of Y? (This will give us the std deviation)

$$Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} X_m$$

We consider the variance in Y as a sum of two variances:

- 1. The first will be an uncertainty in M, the number of incident X-ray photons.
- 2. The second will be due to the uncertainty in the number of light photons generated per each X-ray photon, X_m .

What is the variance of Y due to M?

 $Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} X_m$

Considering M (x-ray photons) as the only random variable and X (Light/photons) as a constant, then the summation would simply be: Y = MX. The variance of Y is: $\sigma_v^2 = X^2 \sigma_M^2$

> (Recall that multiplying a random variable by a constant increases its variance by the square of the constant. Note: The variance of M effects X)

But X is actually a Random variable, so we will write X as E[X]

Therefore, Uncertainty due to M is: $\sigma_{y1}^2 = [E[X]]^2 \sigma_M^2$

What is the variance of Y do to X (Light/photons)? $Y = \sum_{m=1}^{M} X_m$

Here, we consider each X in the sum as a random variable but M is considered fixed:

Then the variance of the sum of M random variables would simply be $M.\sigma_x^{\ 2}$

Note: Considering that the variance of X has no effect on M (ie. each process that makes light photons by hitting a x-ray photon is independent of each other)

Therefore, Uncertainty due to X is: $\sigma_{y2}^2 = E[M] \sigma_X^2$

 $\sigma_M^2 = E[M] = \eta N$ Recall M is a Poisson Process $\sigma_X^2 = E[X] = g_1$ Generating light photons is also Poisson

$$\sigma_{Y}^{2} = \sigma_{y1}^{2} + \sigma_{y2}^{2} = [E[X]]^{2} \sigma_{M}^{2} + E[M] \sigma_{X}^{2} = \eta N g_{1}^{2} + \eta N g_{1}$$
Uncertainty of Y due to X

Uncertainty of Y due to M

$$SNR = \frac{CE[Y]}{\sigma_y} = \frac{C\eta Ng_1}{\sqrt{\eta Ng_1 + \eta Ng_1^2}} = \frac{C\eta Ng_1}{\sqrt{\eta Ng_1}\sqrt{1 + g_1}}$$

Dividing numerator and denominator by g_1

$$=\frac{C\sqrt{\eta N}}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{g_1}}}$$

What can we expect for the limit of g_1 , the generation rate of light photons?



Actually, half of photons escape and energy efficiency rate of screen is only 5%. This gives us a $g_1 = 500$

Since $g_1 >> 1$, $SNR \approx C \sqrt{\eta N}$

SNR based on Density grains per Pixel on Film

We still must generate pixel grains

 $W = \sum_{m=1}^{Y} Z_m$ where W is the number of silver grains developed

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \rightarrow & \hline Z \rightarrow W & grains \ / \ pixel \\ \hline Photons \ / & & Z = developed \ Silver \ grains \ / \ light \ photons \\ pixel \end{array}$$

Let $E[Z] = g_2$, the number of light photons to develop one grain of film. Then, $\sigma_z^2 = g_2$ (since this is a Poisson process, i.e. the mean is the variance). $E[W] = E[Y] E[Z] = \eta Ng_1 \cdot g_2$ Recall: $\sigma_{v}^{2} = \eta N g_{1}^{2} + \eta N g_{1}$ E [Z] = $\sigma_z^2 = g_2$ Number of light photons needed to develop a grain of film

 $\sigma_{\rm W}^2 = \sigma_{\rm Y}^2 E^2[Z] + E[Y] \sigma_z^2$ uncertainty in uncertainty light photons in gain factor z

$$\sigma_{w}^{2} = (\eta N g_{1}^{2} + \eta N g_{1}) g_{2}^{2} + g_{1} \eta N g_{2}$$

$$\sigma_{w} = g_{1} g_{2} \sqrt{\eta N} \sqrt{1 + 1/g_{1} + 1/g_{1}g_{2}}$$

$$SNR = \frac{CE[W]}{\sigma_{W}} = \frac{C\sqrt{\eta N}}{\sqrt{1 + 1/g_{1} + 1/g_{1}g_{2}}}$$

Recall $g_1 = 500$ (light photons per X-ray) $g_2 = 1/200$ light photon to develop a grain of film That is one grain of film requires 200 light photons.

 $1/g_1 << 1$



 $SNR \approx 0.85 C \sqrt{\eta N}$



$M \rightarrow$	$X \rightarrow$	$Z \rightarrow$	W
Transmitted	g_1	g_2	developed
photons	light	grains/	grains
	photons	light	
	/x-ray	photon	

For N as the average number of transmitted, not captured, photons per unit area.





-Old Method



If a fluorescent screen is used instead of film, the eye will only capture a portion of the light rays generated by the screen. How could the eye's efficiency be increased?



Let's calculate the eye's efficiency capturing light η_{ϵ}

$$\eta_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\Omega}{4\pi} T_e = \frac{A}{4\pi r^2} T_e$$

r = viewing distance (minimum 20 cm) $T_e \equiv$ retina efficiency (approx. 0.1) A = pupil area ≈ 0.5 cm² (8 mm pupil diameter) Recall

 $SNR = \frac{C\sqrt{\eta N}}{\sqrt{1 + 1/g_1 + 1/g_1g_2}}$

```
In fluoroscopy:

g_1 = 10^3 light photons / x-ray

g_2 = \eta_{\epsilon}

\eta_{\epsilon} \approx 10^{-5} (at best) Typically \eta_{\epsilon} \approx 10^{-7}

g_1g_2 = 10^310^{-5} = 10^{-2} at best
```

Therefore loss in SNR is about 10

We have to up the dose by a factor of 100! (or, more likely, to compromise resolution rather than dose)

At each stage, we want to keep the gain product >> 1 or quantum effects will harm SNR.





 g_1 = Conversion of x-ray photon to Light photons in Phosphor

 g_2 = Conversion of Light photons into electrons

 g_3 = Conversion of accelerated electron into light photons



```
\begin{array}{l} g_1 = 10^3 \text{ light photons /captured x-ray} \\ g_2 = \text{Electrons / light photons} = 0.1 \\ g_1 g_2 = 100 \\ g_3 = \text{emitted light photons / electron} = 10^3 \\ g_4 = \eta_{\epsilon} \text{ eye efficiency} = 10^{-5} \text{ optimum} \\ g_1 g_2 g_3 g_4 = 10^3 \ 10^{-1} \ 10^3 \ 10^{-5} = 1 \end{array}
```



SNR loss =
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+1}} = \sqrt{2} / 2$$





But TV has an additive electrical noise component. Let's say the noise power (variance) is N_a^{2} .

$$SNR = \frac{C\eta N}{\sqrt{\eta N + N_a^2}}$$



-In X-ray, the number of photons is modeled as our source of signal.
- We can consider N_a (which is actually a voltage), as its equivalent number of photons.

- Electrical noise then occupies some fraction of the signal's dynamic range. Let's use k to represent the portion of the dynamic range that is occupied by additive noise.

$$SNR = \frac{C\eta N}{\sqrt{\eta N + k^2 \eta^2 N^2}} = \frac{C\eta N}{\eta N \sqrt{\frac{1}{\eta N} + k^2}} = \frac{C}{k} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + 1/k^2 \eta N}}$$

$$SNR = \frac{C}{k} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1+1/k^2 \eta N}}$$

 $k = 10^{-2} \text{ to } 10^{-3}$ $\eta N = 10^5 \text{ photons / pixel}$

k = 10^{-2} k² η N = 10k = 10^{-3} k² η N = 10^{-1} Much Better!

If $k^2\eta N \ll 1$ $SNR = C\sqrt{\eta N}$

If $k^2\eta N >> 1$ SNR $\approx C/k$ poor, not making use of radiation

Scatter Radiation



Scatter increases the background intensity. Scatter increases the level of the lesion. Let the ratio of scattered photons to desired photons be

$$\psi = \frac{I_s}{I_b}$$



SNR Effects of Scatter

The variance of the background depends on the variance of transmitted and scattered photons. Both are Poisson and independent so we can sum the variances.

 $\sigma_N^2 = \eta N + \eta N_s$ where N_s is mean number of scattered photons

$$SNR = \frac{C\eta N}{\sqrt{\eta N + \eta N_s}} = C \frac{\sqrt{\eta N}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{N_s}{N}}} = C \frac{\sqrt{\eta N}}{\sqrt{1 + \Psi}}$$

Here C is the scatter free contrast.

Filtering of Noisy Images

- Imaging system is combination of Linear filters with in turn effects on Noisy signals
- Noise can be Temporal or Spatial in an image
- This can also be classified as Stationary or nonstationary
- If the Random fluctuating input to a system with impulse response of p(t) is $w_{in}(t)$, what can be the mean $\langle w_{out} \rangle$ and variance σ_{out} of the output (which is noise variation):